Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No	0.	Applicant(s)	
	10/638,394		FUENFGELD ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	LEE NGUYEN		2618	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>New</u>				
(1) <u>LEE NGUYEN</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>DEREK RICHMOND (45,771)</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 19 April 2006	Time: <u>10:00</u>	i		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: 1-9 Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:				
The status of the claims 1-9 should be original, since there are no changes in the prelimary amendment.				
Part III. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
·				
•				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Rep	oresentative Siç	nature – if appr	opriate)